

# May 10<sup>th</sup> Conservative Coalition of Montgomery County VOTER GUIDE

Lone Star College Trustee Candidates	Trustee #	Voted Best Candidate	Well Qualified	Qualified	Not Qualified	May 10 <sup>th</sup> Conservative Coalition of Montgomery County VOTER GUIDE			
						What are the top 3 needs of Lone Star College System?	Is the \$420 million bond issue too much or too little?	Discuss your views on LSCS funding sources and the amount of funds that should come from each source.	Discuss the correct approach for teaching the various views of the origins of life at LSCS.
✓ Fred Blanton	#3	100%	68%	23%		Integrity, financial management and improving the quality in all areas.	I would not spend a dime until I have solid market studies indicating the justifiable utilization of any funds. The 80 member dream committee is not a sound means of determination of need, rather political whims.	More funding should come from private sources, grants and developing self funding programs. Less should be expected from tuition and a greater share of the state budget should be allocated.	The strengths, weaknesses and positions of all of the theories should be explored to the fullest extent. The ultimate decisions and positions rest solely with the individual.
Stephanie Marquard	#3		14%	45%		Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond
Jerry Albrecht	#3		14%	14%		Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond
Robert Gandy	#3		14%	14%		Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond
✓ Terry Morton	#4	86%	45%	36%		Better financial management, better communication with the communities, and develop career paths for students. Work with our business community to develop careers that meet our needs as well as our students.	Not until I see an in depth study on exactly how the bond would be spent. Our district needs to develop strategic budgets backed up by hard numbers and real estimates with no emotion.	Work directly with the business community to develop courses that mee their needs. They are willing to pay well for people that are trained in their respective fields plus this gives our students a solid career path.	All views must be presented to our students, then let the student decide based on their respective upbringing and values.
Robert Adam	#4	9%	14%	45%	18%	(1) Affordability for students (2) Quality of Education (3) Accessibility	I believe that an amount higher than \$420 million would have increased our tax rate, and therefore, would have been a political mistake. So, in balancing all needs, I believe the \$420 million proposal is reasonable.	To be honest, I do not know the "details" of the LCSC's funding sources to give an opinion I would be comfortable with.	I believe that the various views of the origins of life should be taught, including the Biblical view.
✓ Jason Roper	#6	77%	14%	55%	5%	Partner with government and private entities.. to accommodate growth; Evolve relationship with foundation to include capital projects thus reducing need for taxpayer funds ... Get in the Legislature's face	Hard to say, currently the bond is expected to fund projects for the next 4.5-5 years, Should other fiscal conservatives like me be put on the board we may be able to stretch that out to 6-7 years before considering a new bond	25-30 % from tuition and fees, 25-35% from state, 10-15% from private donations and grants; and about 45-50% from the ad valorem. Any excess in funding should immediately go to reduce property tax.	I believe that creationism / intelligent design should be allowed as an alternative view to the Darwinian model. No view should be suppressed.
Alan Quintero	#6		14%	36%	21%	First need is to pass the bond election. Second, the districts policies and procedures must be updated. Third, the district needs to revisit its long-term strategic plan.	I believe \$420M addresses most identified needs without raising taxes, so it's the right amount	The key is to direct spending so it impacts the primary purpose of the district: education.	Our colleges should be making sure students are prepared to succeed in the jobs of the 21st century by teaching sound science in science classrooms.
Bob Wolfe	#6		18%	11%		Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond
✓ Gail Stanart	#9	91%	64%	27%	0%	Growth--Making sure construction plans are needed; curbing costs where possible. Community--Find more profitable business partnerships. Student--Develop programs with high schools so that students are better prepared	It is likely that the full amount is not needed. The only way to determine this is to commission professional marketing studies to evaluate future student growth at the individual colleges. Resources should be focused where there is real demand.	Funds from private endowments, state funding, & grants need to be increased. Programs must be reevaluated according to priorities.	All theories of the origins of life should be explored equally allowing the students to make their own personal decision. Both strengths and weaknesses of all theories should be explained.
Joe Williams	#9		9%	45%	16%	Interviewed with no questionnaire	Interviewed with no questionnaire	Interviewed with no questionnaire	Interviewed with no questionnaire
Jean Iverson	#9	5%	14%	27%	5%	Overhaul distance learning and update technologies ... Assist students in finding work to help them finance their own education. Do a better job of including the community in formulating plans for the future growth of the district.	The amount is ludicrously high. The increasing enrollment in distance learning and con-current students, while onsite enrollment actually declines leaves me to believe the district has grossly overstated its need for new construction ...	I'd like to do more research before I try to address this question.	As a one time student at LSCS, I took a class in the philosophy of religion. It was a mind expanding experience. I think diversity and tolerance brings understanding to any equation.
Diane Porter	#9		5%	16%		Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond
Priscilla Kelly	#9		5%	16%		Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond	Did Not Respond

Note: These are the understood views of candidates as understood by panel members based on interviews with candidates, public info, & questionnaires received. All contested candidates were invited. Some had schedule conflicts. The purpose of this publication is to inform local voters. It is not a political advertisement, but a compilation of information gathered by and opinions expressed by this panel. It is not issued at the direction or expense of any political party or any other organization.